UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,003	09/18/2006	Kazuhiro Hirose	20239/0204681-US0	3079
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/24/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	tation	HOBAN, MATTHEW E		
Church Street S New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/599,0	03	HIROSE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Matthew	E. Hoban	1793				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 18 Sentember	2006					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	• ,					
· ·		nolication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · ·	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election i	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
<b>Attachmen</b> : 1) ⊠ Notic 2) □ Notic	<b>t(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		4)	y (PTO-413) Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/2006 6/2/2008.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/599,003 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1793

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, and 3-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutz in 5198137 in view of Zhao in his publication entitled "Structure and magnetic properties of the oxide layers on iron ultrafine particles".

Regarding Claim 1: Rutz teaches a composition useful in making magnetic components, and specifically "magnetic core components". The composition of Rutz makes use of iron particles, thermoplastic resin, and a lubricant in the form of boron nitride. In this composition Rutz teaches that the iron particles are coated with a thermoplastic material, where this material is present in an amount from .001-15 wt% (Column 3, Lines 43-51). Rutz then speaks about the lubricant he uses in Column 5, Lines 50-70 stating that boron nitride (hexagonal and inorganic) is a useful lubricant in the amount of under 1 wt%, where the particle size is below 20 microns. It should be stated that these ranges overlap with those of the instant claim (where the amount of thermoplastic also shares an endpoint). One of ordinary skill in the art could select from the overlapping portions of these ranges and thus arrive at the claimed invention based on the teachings of Rutz. Overlapping ranges have been held to create a prima facie case of obviousness. See MPEP 2144.05.

Rutz does not teach the insulating coating containing metallic salt phosphate or oxide.

Art Unit: 1793

However, Zhao teaches that iron particles necessarily have an oxide layer on their outer surface when they are allowed to react with oxygen in the atmosphere, even at room temperature (See First paragraph page 483). The oxide layer can be visibly seen in Figure 2 on Page 485 of the publication. One of ordinary skill in the art would thus expect that iron particles, such as those used by Rutz would necessarily have a thin oxide, insulating layer on their outer surface. This is especially true since Rutz uses commercially available particles, which do not appear to be under a non-oxidizing atmosphere and furthermore, processing one these particles is done under air, which contains oxygen (column 4, Lines 45-46 "During the Wurster coating process, the iron particles are fluidized in air".)

**Regarding Claim 3:** Rutz teaches using boron nitride as a lubricant. Boron Nitride is both hexagonal and inorganic. (Column 5, Lines 50-70)

**Regarding Claim 4:** Rutz teaches using under 1 wt% of boron nitride. (Column 5, Lines 50-70)

**Regarding Claim 5:** Rutz teaches using thermoplastic resin in an amount from .001-15 wt% (Column 3, Lines 43-51).

**Regarding Claim 6-7:** Rutz creates cores from his powder which can be seen in table 2. As can be seen, the density of cores at 0 and .1 wt% boron nitride is generally

above 95%. One of ordinary skill would thus expect the composites from the range of Rutz's teachings to also fall in this same range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Hoban whose telephone number is (571) 270-3585. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (571) 272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerry A Lorengo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793

meh